(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 525 /06

Single Bench

PETITIONER : Chandra Kumar Rathore
S/o Shri K.P. Rathore
Aged about 50 years
Presently posted as Lecturer
Government Basic Training Institute
Janjgir-Champa
District Janjgir, Chhattisgarh

P.R. No. 513/06
Presented by Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal
Dated 30/1/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENT :

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary
Department of School Education
D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya
Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2. Directorate of Public Instructions
Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3. Principal, District Education & Training Institute, Janjgir
Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

4. Director Mission, Rajiv Gandhi Shiksha Mission, State Project Office, Raipur

RECEIVER-COPY (W) 30/1/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

30/1/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OR ORDERS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.NO. 525/2006

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

3-2-2006

Shri Sanjay Kumar Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Adv. for the State.

Application for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

The petitioner has filed this petition on the ground that the petitioner is not being relieved in spite of the orders.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a Lecturer in the Government Basic Training Institute, janjgir-Champa. He was appointed in Rajeev Shiksha Mission as per Annexure P-3 dated 10-11-2005 and his name appears at Sr. No. 3. Then the petitioner submitted representations as per Annexure P-6 dated 27-11-2005 and Annexure P-8 dated 16-12-2005 for relieving. He further submits that then as per Annexure P-10 dated 19-1-2006, the respondent no. 1 passed an order directing the Principal to immediately relieve the petitioner. Even then the petitioner was not relieved. He further submits that the petitioner again made a representation that he is not being relieved.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record and especially the fact that even after the order of the respondent No. 1 as per Annexure P-10 dated 19-1-2006 directing the Principal to relieve the petitioner, the petitioner has not been relieved, in the opinion of this Court there is no justifiable reason for not relieving the petitioner. It is directed that the petitioner be relieved as early as possible preferably within a

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर 34

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.525/2006 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

period of 7 days from the date of production/receipt of a copy of this order and report be submitted ~~to this Court~~.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Pathak

[पीछे देखिये